

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/504,803	02/16/2000	P. Howard Edelstein	01985-P0040A	6146
24126 7590 01/18/2007 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619			EXAMINER	
			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1			3693	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/504,803	EDELSTEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel S. Felten	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	lune 2006.					
·— · · —	s action is non-final.					
·—	·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/o						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Delice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3693

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt of the Response filed June 12, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1-56 are pending in the case.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (US 4,823,265) in view of Hammons (US 6,477,509)

Re claims 1, 3-6, 11, 23, 34, 38, , 39 41 and 42:

Nelson discloses a system for facilitating the processing and management of a securities trade comprising:

A computer (see Nelson, figs. 1& 2, col. 3, 11. 4-19);

trade execution information supplied by a first trading party and received by the computer (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66), said trade execution information indicative of an executed trade by the first trading party (buyer) and comprising party supplied data elements concerning the conditions of the ordered trade itself (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66,. col. 4, 11. 21+),.

trade allocation information received by the computer, the trade allocation information

Art Unit: 3693

indicative of an ordered trade by a second trading party (seller) and comprising trade data concerning one or more details of the ordered trade itself (see Nelson, col. 3, 11. 7+);

a set of predefined acceptable trade parameters/profiles (see Nelson, figs. 4a-d, col. 3, 11. 5+-, col. 4, 11. 2 1+ ); and

software for determining that a match exists if the trade data contained in execution information and the party supplied data elements correlate within the set of predefined acceptable trade parameters (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 48-55,- and col. 9, 11. 29-41,- and col. 15, 11. 41+).

Nelson fails to disclose software executing on the computer for comparing the party supplied data elements contained in said execution information with the trade data contained in the trade allocation information.

Hammons discloses software executing on the computer for comparing the party supplied data elements contained in said execution information with the trade data contained in the trade

allocation information (see Hammons col. 4, 11. 15+). Since Nelson does use certain infonuation to match buyers and sellers (see Nelson col. 3, 11. 7-10,. col. 9, 11. 29-41), it would

have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to compare the

party supplied data elements contained in said execution information because an artisan at the

Art Unit: 3693

time of the invention would have desired to use the latest technology to accommodate buyers and

sellers with various focus of information that would be useful to make secure trades.

Thus such a modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

# Re claim 2:

Wherein the set of predefined acceptable trade parameters is dependent of the identities of the first trading party and the second trading parties (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-669 col. 4, 11. 2 1+).

# Re claims 7, 29:

wherein if a match is not found to exist, software executing on the computer generates and transmits an exception notification to the first trading party and the second trading party informing them that an exception has been detected, and further comprising software executing on the computer for receiving an instruction for exception processing from at least one of the first trading party and second trading party, and for processing the exception according to the instruction for exception processing (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66., col. 4, 11. 21+).

#### Re claims 8, 30:

the instruction for exception processing comprises instruction to reject the match, and wherein the system terminates processing of the trade (see explanation for claims 1, 3-6, 23, 34, 38, 41 and 42 above).

Art Unit: 3693

# Re claim 2:

Wherein the set of predefined acceptable trade parameters is dependent of the identities of the first trading party and the second trading parties (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-669 col. 4, 11. 2 1+).

Re claims 7, 29:

wherein if a match is not found to exist, software executing on the computer generates and

transmits an exception notification to the first trading party and the second trading party informing them that an exception has been detected, and further comprising software executing on the computer for receiving an instruction for exception processing from at least one of the first trading party and second trading party, and for processing the exception according to the instruction for exception processing (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66., col. 4, 11. 21+).

Re claims: 8, 30:

the instruction for exception processing comprises instruction to reject the match, and wherein the system terminates processing of the trade (see explanation for claims 1, 3-6, 23, 34, 38, 41 and 42 above).

Re claims 14-18, 24, 35, 43-45:

having a plurality of enrichment databases having enrichment data stored thereon (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66,- col. 4, 11. 21+).

Art Unit: 3693

Re claims 19, 25:

allowing the first trading party and second trading party to access the trade stams database in order to view the real-time status of the trade (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66; col. 4, 11. 21+).

Re claims 20, 36 and 37:

wherein first trading party is a broker and wherein the trade execution information is extracted

from an order execution notice received by the computer (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66; col. 4, 11. 21+).

Re claims 21, 22, 46-48:

Extracting the trade execution information from an order execution notice;

extracting trade allocation information from an allocation;

translating the trade execution information and trade allocation into a usable format (see Nelson, col. 1, 11. 60-66; col. 4, 11. 21+).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**DSF** 

August 19, 2006

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3693